

Climate Commonsense From Hans Schreuder

Dear Richard Haas,

As per Marc Morano's email below, herewith my contribution to the alleged "inanity" of the EPA report, thus proclaimed by Joe Romm, who it would appear does not have the slightest understanding of any of the laws of physics nor any understanding about the basics of chemistry.

As a retired analytical chemist and webmaster of www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com I would like to submit the following comments, which I hope will be taken into account and receive serious consideration.

By the means of observational and falsifiable evidence, carbon dioxide has never been proven to influence the climate. Never. Only in laboratory flasks, never in the open atmosphere. That is no surprise as it can not, can never and has never influenced the climate in any way whatsoever. The one and only influence that carbon dioxide could possibly have in the atmosphere is to increase the dispersal of reflected IR energy from the earth's surface, but most certainly not warming it in any way whatsoever.

Reflected IR energy coming off the earth after solar energy has heated it would be absorbed and instantly, at the speed of light, dispersed by susceptible molecules like carbon dioxide and water vapor in a random three-dimensional manner, thus halving the energy re-radiated back towards the earth. In a cascading manner, that is why air temperatures drop the instant a cloud passes in between the earth and the observer and why night-time temperatures are lower than day-time temperatures (except in the unusual climatic conditions whereby wind might carry warmer air during the night-time over a cooler area). If re-radiated warming took place, the carbon dioxide and water molecules in the atmosphere would be capable of maintaining the temperature for the few seconds that a cloud might pass overhead – instead, an instant cooling is experienced – instant.

Reflected energy can in any case not make the emitter of the original energy warmer; if it could, we'd be able to make energy from thin air. Also, air (as in oxygen and nitrogen) does not react substantially to radiation (as you can test in your own microwave oven, where the food gets hot but not the air. Any heating of the air is due to convective heating off the food) and thus carbon dioxide can not possibly warm the air via re-radiating IR energy.

As a further rebuttal of the influence of carbon dioxide over the climate, the alleged greenhouse effect is a non-existent effect. No greenhouse, whether made from glass, plastic, cardboard or steel will reach a higher inside

temperature due to the magic of re-radiated IR energy. If it did, engineers would have long ago been able to design power stations made from air, mirrors and glass, extracting more energy out of it than was put into it – if only! All natural heating that takes place in a greenhouse (be it made with glass, plastic, cardboard or steel) is due to the restricted access of the heated air to the open atmosphere, where it would normally disperse its excess heat to the next available cooler molecule of any of the IR susceptible gases in our atmosphere in the cascading manner described above.

To classify carbon dioxide as the cause of global warming or as a pollutant is thus nothing short of scientific chicanery, for reasons that have nothing to do with science, but based purely on the pseudo-science so eagerly practised by academia across the world in order to keep their funding sources open to the governmental decrees, which are in turn based on totally false IPCC dogma (yes, dogma - not science).

It is therefore that I rest my case, as expanded upon on my website (see links below).

Sincerely yours,

Hans Schreuder
Ipswich, UK
13 December 2008

www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/theory.html
www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/carbondioxide.html
www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/latest.html
www.ilovemycarbon dioxide.com/latestarticles.html