

Open letter to:

Hon. Colin Barnett, MEc, MLA Premier of Western Australia, Office of the Premier. 1 Parliament Place West Perth WA 6005



Hayek on Hood, 3 / 31 Hood Street Subiaco (Perth) Western Australia 6008 Telephone No: (08) 9382 1288 Int. Prefix: (+61 8)

email::mannwest@mannkal.org
website: www.mannwest.com

17th June. 2015

Dear Premier.

Re: Barnett Government Trashes W.A. 'Property Rights'.

Travelling through Europe, particularly Greece, with a group of economists last month, I had a strange feeling. It came to me while we spoke on the importance of respecting 'Property Rights' as a key component in energizing any country's economy.

Among the varying examples of Property Rights being trashed in those countries, none were worse examples than one in Western Australia that affects me as a small partner in a residential land development syndicate (Primewest Syndicate) at Wattleup Rd, just south of Perth.

We purchased the syndicate land, zoned Urban—deferred, in *February 2006*. Both at the time of purchase and rezoning to Urban in 2008, it was not affected in any way by any industrial buffer or the like. Nothing complicated about that and easily understood. In fact the WAPC had some five years before purchased an adjoining poultry operation, relocated it to a rural area and then proceeded to rezone the land for residential use.

Then, in 2009, a decision was made without reference to the Local Council or affected land owners. It was a decision to extend the Alcoa exclusion zone by an additional 500m to include our land holding. That decision overturned all strategic and statutory planning which had been undertaken over more than a decade to realise zonings under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and City of Cockburn Town Planning Scheme No.3 which allow for residential development. Such extraordinary action undermines confidence in the planning system and increases the cost of bringing residential land to market, further fuelling Perth's housing affordability predicament.

So, here we are, more than 9 years since our purchase and 7 years since the land was rezoned to Urban. Despite our best efforts to subdivide this land and supply some residential blocks in this prime location, all we have to show for it is a mountain of paper and bureaucratic obfuscation that would provide a ready-made script for any Australian version of Yes Minister!

To continue on this lumbering process of applications into the distant future appears pointless, hence my direct approach to you as the matter rests in the Premier's Department and not in the Western Australian Planning Commission where it probably should reside.

An economics student could write their thesis on why building blocks in Western Australia are three times the price they should be. To put this in perspective, the Perth median home price in 1985 was the equivalent of 3.16 times average annual earnings. In 2014, Perth's median home price represents 9.35 times average annual earnings - see att. spreadsheet (Ref. Institute of Public Affairs Research

This is in a State where, through the efficiency of our home builders, the price of houses has been kept low. Meanwhile, the price of housing lots has gone through the roof, one might say.

Yes, there was a request that we do further dust sample monitoring, over a 12 month period.

Yes, this was done by experts, based on a methodology approved by and in consultation with your Department of Health and Department of Environment and Conservation. The monitoring was completed at great expense, and the results confirmed that no problems existed.

However, the State Administrative Tribunal claims the 'Precautionary Principle' should triumph over Property Rights.

I've studied the Precautionary Principle and understand clearly that on that basis noone should ever get married because such marriage may end in divorce and that noone should ever start a business because at sometime in the future it may fail.

Mr Premier, our great State was not built on the Precautionary Principle and we should be thankful for that.

Media Coverage

The ABC transcript of your own comments of October 15th 2014, state;

"If people have bought land in a buffer zone, which hasn't been legislated previously but it's been there and there's been a lot of discussion about the buffer zone and how wide it should be, then those developers take on a risk," Mr Barnett said.

Please let me, respectfully, correct this statement.

When our syndicate purchased this land in 2006 there was no buffer zone, nor talk of one on this syndicate land.

In respect to our land syndicate, your comments were incorrect (simply wrong) which leads me to suggest that either your advisors don't understand Property Rights, or that they were misleading you in preparing that statement.

Mannwest Group Pty Ltd - ABN 86 008 729 575

My question now is

Does your Government believe in Property Rights?

Do they agree that it is a key ingredient in what we call western civilization which has given us levels of prosperity in our State that is the envy of many parts of the world?

If you believe in Property Rights, then there can be no arbitrary 'taking' as is your current action of legislating a 1.5 km exclusion zone

If your action is being taken to accommodate some form of "crony-capitalism" deal being done between the State Government and Alcoa (shades of WA Inc.) then this must be done by mutual negotiation, rather than by the stroke of a bureaucratic pen.

Mr Premier, it seems that I have only two alternatives:-

1. Remain silent while my existing (at time of purchase) Property Rights are legislated away by your government (not a rational option).

Or

2. Protest vigorously about what I see as a clear breach of government trust that, if it remains unchallenged, will continue to create further uncertainty for all property owners in Western Australia.

I bring this matter to your attention in the hope that you might review the matter and I make myself available, at any time convenient to yourself, to discuss this matter with you further.

Yours respectfully,

Ron Manners,

Managing Director

Mannwest Group Pty Ltd

Att.

																					Ī,			ļ	I	l,			l	1		<u> </u>	F 820.	I.,				
z									_														>										11					
Σ	/age)										Į										4				_								2006 2011					
1	average w							1000																			\						2001					
У	Australian																					77.1		***************************************						a de districte de Pelance compos seconolybras			1991 1996					
٦	utright (on																							haddelenin and manner property by great			4	4	}	diana na apan i pi i na inga mangan ang dip - mada			1986 1					
_	ce house o								i																			[75 1981					
Τ	median pri														1												/	7					1970 1975	a pour de la company de la com				
9	hase Perth					0.0										6				soing-neibam draed seedorug of crest triging of creat tri																		
щ	Years to purchase Perth median price house outright (on Australian average wage	5.52	2.06	4.51	4.42	3.75	3.84	4.52	4.41	4.26	4.12	4.08	3.97	3.59	3.17	3.16	3.30	3.28	3.94	4.88	4.51	4.16	4.05	4.33	4.63	4.64	4.47	4.62	4.72	4.79	4.96	5.23	5.58	5.81	6.82	7.34	8.98	11.05
Е	λ.	1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006	2002
D	Average yearly	3,172.00	3,509.48	3,882.32	4,267.64	5,023.72	6,376.24	7,296.64	8,260.20	9,061.52	9,786.92	10,730.72	12,137.84	13,652.60	15,182.96	16,476.20	17,600.96	18,642.00	19,796.40	20,995.00	22,414.60	23,904.40	25,305.80	26,039.00	26,570.96	27,339.00	28,321.80	29,039.40	29,878.16	30,792.84	31,516.16	32,195.28	33,893.60	35,258.08	36,774.40	38,483.12	40,089.40	42,071.12
C	Perth median Average weekly earnings Average yea	01.00	67.49	74.66	82.07	19.96	122.62	140.32	158.85	174.26	188.21	206.36	233.42	262.55	291.98	316.85	338.48	358.50	380.70	403.75	431.05	459.70	486.65	500.75	510.98	525.75	544.65	558.45	574.58	592.17	80.909	619,14	651.80	678.04	707.20	740.06	770.95	90.608
В	Perth median	17,500	17,750	17,500	18,850	18,850	24,500	33,000	36,400	38,600	40,350	43,825	48,225	49,000	48,175	52,050	58,000	61,225	78,000	102,500	101,125	99,500	102,500	112,750	123,125	126,788	126,625	134,125	141,000	147,500	156,250	168,375	189,250	205,000	250,800	282,300	360,000	465,000
A		1970	1971	1972	1973	1974	1975	1976	1977	1979	1980	1981	1982	1983	1984	1985	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2002	2006	2007
	1	2	က	4	Ŋ	9	7	œ	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	56	27	28	53	30	31	32	33	34	32	36	37	38

		П				-		\neg	
z									
Σ									
٦									
¥									
_	Ξ	Ш							
_	Ş	5							
Ŧ									
9									
ī						9.03	1		
Е	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
٥	43,981.60	45,708.00	47,464.04	49,996.96	52,019.76	56,843.80	58,167.20		į
C	845.80	879.00	912.77	961.48	1,000.38	1,093.15	1,118.60		
8	470,000	439,800	501,500	496,300	484,600	513,500	544,000		
¥	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014		
	33	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47



Premier of Western Australia

Our Ref: 24-546511/JH

Mr Ron Manners
Managing Director
Mannwest Group Pty Ltd
3 / 31 Hood Street
SUBJACO WA 6008

Dear Mr Manners

Thank you for your letter of 17 June 2015 regarding land owned by the Primewest Syndicate in Hammond Park, being Lots 117 and 805 Wattleup Road.

As you noted, the land is zoned Urban under the Metropolitan Region Scheme and partly falls within the Western Australian Planning Commission's Kwinana Industrial Buffer, specifically the 0.5km residential development and sensitive uses restriction area. This buffer was established by the WAPC in September 2010 following input from government agencies, industry and the public.

The buffer is an important tool in managing appropriate land uses in the vicinity of the Kwinana industrial area, one of the most important industrial precincts in the State. However, the buffer does not necessarily preclude the development of your land as light industrial, commercial or other uses (other than residential) may be considered under the Urban zoning.

As you would be aware, Primewest's proposed subdivision was considered by the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT), with a recommendation provided to the Minister for Planning in November 2014. The SAT concluded that it was not satisfied that the proposed residential subdivision is acceptable in relation to the health and amenity impacts of dust. The SAT also found that the proposed subdivision is inconsistent with the applicable planning framework (despite the Urban zoning), would likely adversely affect the industrial amenity of Alcoa's bauxite residue disposal area, and would set an adverse planning precedent.

The Minister for Planning is currently awaiting the judgement of the Supreme Court on the related Wattleup Development Company's appeal regarding the SAT recommendation.

Should the Minister for Planning determine not to approve your residential subdivision application, there remains the possibility that other land uses can be considered for the portion of your land within the buffer area, subject to the normal planning processes and considerations.

Thank you for raising this matter with me.

Yours sincerely

Colin Barnett MLA

PREMIER

2 0 JUL 2015

Hon. Colin Barnett, MEc, MLA Premier of Western Australia Office of the Premier 1 Parliament Place West Perth WA 6005





Hayek on Hood, 3 / 31 Hood Street Subiaco (Perth) Western Australia 6008 Telephone No: (08) 9382 1288 Int. Prefix: (+61 8)

email::mannwest@mannkal.org
website: www.mannwest.com

Your Ref: 24-546511/JH

29th July, 2015

Dear Premier.

Re: "Yes Minister - Revisited'

Thank you for your reply of 20th July, 2015 regarding lots 117 and 805 Wattleup Road.

Your comments may be correct but they do not apply to the land in question.

That land was never subject to a buffer zone and that's why any changes to its status must be viewed as a gross violation of secure property rights.

Property rights have made it possible for Western Australia to be a reliable environment in which to do business.

This treatment of property rights, on this particular land, led me to use the carefully chosen wording to head my original June 17th letter - 'Barnett Government Trashes W.A. Property Rights'.

I hope your staff will review this situation with respect to the particular land to which I refer.

Yours sincerely.

Ron Manners,

Managing Director

Mannwest Group Pty Ltd

Att.